

SECTION C: CONTINUOUS OPTIMISATION
PROBLEM SET 1: SOLUTIONS

HONOUR SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, OXFORD UNIVERSITY
HILARY TERM 2006, DR RAPHAEL HAUSER

Solution to Problem 1.

(i) The second order Taylor development of $t \mapsto f(x + tu)$ around $t = 0$ is

$$f(x + tu) = f(x) + t\nabla f(x)^T u + \frac{1}{2}t^2 u^T H(x)u + o(t^2), \quad (0.1)$$

where $o(t^2)$ is a function such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{o(t^2)}{t^2} = 0.$$

(ii) Theorem 2.4 (ii) shows

$$f(x + tu) \geq f(x) + t\nabla f(x)^T u.$$

Therefore, (0.1) shows that

$$\frac{1}{2}t^2 u^T H(x)u + o(t^2) = f(x + tu) - f(x) - t\nabla f(x)^T u \geq 0.$$

Dividing by t^2 and taking limits, we obtain

$$u^T H(x)u = u^T H(x)u + 2 \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{o(t^2)}{t^2} = 2 \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{2}t^2 u^T H(x)u + o(t^2)}{t^2} \geq 0.$$

Since $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ was arbitrary, this shows that $H(x)$ is positive semidefinite.

(iii) The fundamental theorem of differential and integral calculus shows

$$f(x + tu) = f(x) + \int_0^1 \nabla f(x + \vartheta tu)^T t u d\vartheta \quad (0.2)$$

and

$$\nabla f(x + \vartheta tu)^T u = \nabla f(x)^T u + \int_0^1 \vartheta t u^T H(x + \tau \vartheta tu) u d\tau. \quad (0.3)$$

The claim follows by substitution of (0.3) into (0.2).

(iv) The assumption $H(y) \succeq 0$ (pos. semidefinite) implies

$$u^T H(x + \tau \vartheta tu) u \geq 0 \quad (0.4)$$

for all τ, ϑ, t such that $x + \tau \vartheta tu \in D$. In particular, this holds when $x + tu \in D$ and $\tau, \vartheta \in [0, 1]$, because D is convex. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + tu) &= f(x) + t\nabla f(x)^T u + t^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \vartheta u^T H(s + \tau \vartheta tu) u d\tau d\vartheta \\ &\geq f(x) + t\nabla f(x)^T u, \end{aligned} \quad (0.5)$$

where the inequality holds because an integral of a nonnegative function is nonnegative. By virtue of Theorem 2.4 (ii), (0.5) shows that the function $t \mapsto f(x + tu)$ is convex in t . That is to say, for any y of the form $y = x + tu$ and any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y). \quad (0.6)$$

But this holds true for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so in particular any $y \in D$ is of this form with $u = y - x$. Therefore, f is convex on D .

(v) The argument is the same as in (iv), except that the inequalities in (0.5) and (0.6) change to strict inequalities.

Solution to Problem 2.

(i) $f''(x) = C$ for all x , and since $C \succ 0$, part (v) of Problem 1 shows that f is strictly convex.

(ii) $\phi(\alpha) = (a + b^T x_k + \frac{1}{2} x_k^T C x_k) + \alpha \times (b^T d_k + x_k^T C d_k) + \alpha^2 \times (\frac{1}{2} d_k^T C d_k)$ is a polynomial of degree 2 in α . Moreover, $\phi''(\alpha) = d_k^T C d_k > 0$, since $C \succ 0$ and $d_k \neq 0$. Part (v) of Problem 1 therefore shows that ϕ is strictly convex.

(iii) $\phi'(\alpha) = (b^T d_k + x_k^T C d_k) + \alpha \times (d_k^T C d_k)$. Since ϕ is strictly convex, it has a unique local minimiser α^* (which is also the global minimiser). The first order optimality condition $\phi'(\alpha^*) = 0$ implies

$$\alpha^* = -\frac{b^T d_k + x_k^T C d_k}{d_k^T C d_k}.$$

(iv) We have $\alpha^* > 0$, because $d_k^T C d_k > 0$ and

$$b^T d_k + x_k^T C d_k = \phi'(0) = \nabla f(x_k)^T d_k < 0$$

by the assumption that d_k is a descent direction. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\alpha^*) &= (a + b^T x_k + \frac{1}{2} x_k^T C x_k) - \frac{(b^T d_k + x_k^T C d_k)^2}{2 d_k^T C d_k} \\ &< (a + b^T x_k + \frac{1}{2} x_k^T C x_k) - c_1 \frac{(b^T d_k + x_k^T C d_k)^2}{d_k^T C d_k} = \phi(0) + c_1 \alpha^* \phi'(0), \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from the assumption that $c_1 < 1/2$. This shows that the first Wolfe condition holds. The second Wolfe condition holds trivially, because $\phi'(0) = \nabla f(x_k)^T d_k < 0$, and hence,

$$\phi'(\alpha^*) = 0 > c_2 \phi'(0).$$

Solution to Problem 3.

(i) Since $\phi'(\alpha) < 0$ for all $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_k]$, it is true that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_{k+1}) &= f(x_k) + \int_0^\beta \phi'(\alpha) d\alpha + \int_\beta^{\alpha_k} \phi'(\alpha) d\alpha \\ &< f(x_k) + \int_0^\beta \phi'(\alpha) d\alpha \quad \forall \beta \in [0, \alpha_k]. \end{aligned} \quad (0.7)$$

(ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi'(\alpha) &= \nabla f(x_k + \alpha d_k)^T d_k \\
&= (\nabla f(x_k) + \nabla f(x_k + \alpha d_k) - \nabla f(x_k))^T d_k \\
&\stackrel{\text{C.S.}}{\leq} \nabla f(x_k)^T d_k + \|d_k\| \times \|\nabla f(x_k + \alpha d_k) - \nabla f(x_k)\| \\
&\stackrel{\text{Lip.}}{\leq} \nabla f(x_k)^T d_k + \alpha \Lambda \|d_k\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{0.8}$$

(iii) It follows from (0.8) that

$$\nabla f(x_k)^T d_k + \alpha \Lambda \|d_k\|^2 < 0 \tag{0.9}$$

implies $\phi'(\alpha) < 0$. But (0.9) is equivalent to

$$\alpha < \hat{\beta} := -\frac{\nabla f(x_k)^T d_k}{\Lambda \|d_k\|^2}$$

Since therefore $\phi'(\alpha) < 0$ for all $\alpha < \hat{\beta}$, it must be true that $\hat{\beta} \in [0, \alpha_k]$. Using this in Equation (0.7), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k) &\leq \int_0^{\hat{\beta}} (\nabla f(x_k)^T d_k + \alpha \Lambda \|d_k\|^2) d\alpha \\
&= \|d_k\| \times \|\nabla f(x_k)\| \cos \theta_k \times \hat{\beta} + \frac{\hat{\beta}^2}{2} \Lambda \|d_k\|^2 \\
&= \frac{\|d_k\|^2 \times \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \cos^2 \theta_k}{\Lambda \|d_k\|^2} \left(-1 + \frac{1}{2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the required formula.