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Abstract.

In general, second kind Volterra integral equations with weakly singular kernels of the form $k(t, s)(t-s)^{-\alpha}$ possess solutions which have discontinuous derivatives at $t = 0$. A discrete Gronwall inequality is employed to prove that, away from the origin, the error in product integration and collocation schemes for these equations is of order $2-\alpha$.
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1. Introduction.

This note is concerned with the order of the error in numerical schemes for the weakly singular second kind Volterra integral equation

\begin{equation}
(1.1) \quad y(t) = g(t) + \int_0^t \frac{k(t, s)y(s)}{(t-s)^\alpha} \, ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.
\end{equation}

Several authors, including Linz [7], Garey [6], Brunner and Nørsett [3], Cameron and McKee [4], have considered discretization methods for (1.1) and have derived convergence results under the assumption that the solution $y$ is smooth on $[0, T]$.

In most practical examples the solution of (1.1) is not smooth and it can be shown (see, for example, Brunner [2]) that if the given functions $g$ and $k$ satisfy $g \in C^m[0, T]$ and $k \in C^m(D)$, $D := \{(t, s): 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$, then $y$ may be expressed as

\begin{equation}
(1.2) \quad y(t) = g(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n(t; \alpha)t^{m(1-\alpha)}, \quad t \in [0, T],
\end{equation}

where $\psi_n \in C^m[0, T]$ for all $n$.
Thus as \( t \to 0^+ \), \( y'(t) \) is discontinuous and it is well-known that in this case the high-order accuracy of product integration and collocation schemes is lost and convergence of order \( 1 - \alpha \) has been proved (see Brunner [2]). However, it has been observed in numerical experiments that as \( t \) increases the errors appear to be of order \( 2 - \alpha \) (see te Riele [9], who considers the case of particular practical importance, \( \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \)).

The purpose of this note is to show by employing a special discrete Gronwall inequality that discretization methods for (1.1) with solution (1.2) satisfy

\[
|y(t_i) - y_i| \leq C(h^{2 - \alpha} t_i^{-\alpha} + h^2),
\]

where \( y_i \) represents an approximation to \( y(t_i) \), \( t_i = ih, 0 \leq i \leq N \), \( Nh = T \), and \( C \) is a constant independent of \( h \). The bound (1.3) implies the error is of order \( 2 - \alpha \) at the fixed point \( t \) away from the origin.

The analysis will be illustrated in section 3 by considering the product trapezoidal rule, and in section 5 the results of numerical experiments which confirm the theoretical results for the product trapezoidal rule are given. In section 4 it will be shown how the analysis may also be applied to more general product integration and collocation schemes.

For ease of exposition the linear equation (1.1) will be used; the extension to equations with nonlinear kernels \( k(t, s, y)(t-s)^{-\alpha} \) is straightforward provided \( k(t, s, y) \) is assumed to be Lipschitz continuous in \( y \). Note that Lubich [8] has established results for the behaviour of the solution near \( t = 0 \) for nonlinear equations.


**Theorem 2.1** Let \( x_i, 0 \leq i \leq N \), be a sequence of non-negative real numbers satisfying

\[
(2.1) \quad x_i \leq \chi + \frac{\phi}{(ih)^\alpha} + M h^{1 - \alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{x_j}{(i-j)^\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N,
\]

where \( 0 < \alpha < 1, \chi, \phi \) are non-negative constants and \( M \) is a positive constant, independent of \( h (h > 0) \), then

\[
(2.2) \quad x_i \leq \chi E_{1-\alpha}(M \Gamma(1-\alpha)(ih)^{1-\alpha}) + \frac{\phi \Gamma(1-\alpha)}{(ih)^\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(M \Gamma(1-\alpha)(ih)^{1-\alpha})^n}{\Gamma((n+1)(1-\alpha))}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N,
\]

where \( E_\beta(z) \) is the Mittag-Leffler function defined for \( \beta > 0 \) by

\[
E_\beta(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(n\beta + 1)}.
\]
COROLLARY. If \( x_i, 0 \leq i \leq N, \) satisfies (2.1) then for any \( T > 0 \) there exists \( C = C(T) \) such that

\[
x_i \leq C \left( \chi + \frac{\phi}{(ih)^\alpha} \right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq N,
\]

whenever \( Nh \ll T. \)

(Here and elsewhere define \( 0^{-\alpha} = 1. \))

PROOF. For any sequence \( g_i, 0 \leq i \leq N, \) define the sequence \( \{g_i^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty \) as follows:

\[
\begin{cases}
g_i^{(1)} = g_i, \\
g_i^{(n)} = Mh^{1-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{g_j^{(n-1)}}{(i-j)^\alpha}, & n \geq 2.
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( \theta_i = \chi + \phi(ih)^{-\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N. \)

Then, using the results of Dixon and McKee [5], (2.1) implies \( x_i \leq x^{(n)}, 0 \leq i \leq N, \) where \( \theta_i^{(n)}, n \geq 1, \) is defined by (2.4).

Defining \( \chi_i = \chi, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N, \) and \( \phi_i = \phi(ih)^{-\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N, \)

it follows from (2.4) that \( \theta_i^{(n)} = \chi_i^{(n)} + \phi_i^{(n)}, \quad n \geq 1. \)

Hence

\[
x_i \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \chi_i^{(n)} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \phi_i^{(n)}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N.
\]

By Dixon and McKee [5]

\[
\sum_{n=1}^\infty \chi_i^{(n)} \leq \chi E_{1-\alpha}(M \Gamma(1-\alpha)(ih)^{1-\alpha}),
\]

and it only remains to show that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^\infty \phi_i^{(n)} \leq \frac{\phi}{(ih)^\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(M \Gamma(1-\alpha)(ih)^{1-\alpha})^n}{\Gamma((n+1)(1-\alpha))}.
\]

The following inequality will be required:

If \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) and \( \gamma < 1 \) then

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{1}{j^\alpha (i-j)^\gamma} \leq i^{1-\alpha-\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\gamma)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha-\gamma)}.
\]

A proof of (2.6) may be found in Beesack [1].
Assume inductively that

\begin{equation}
\phi_i^{(n)} = \phi \Gamma(1 - \alpha) \frac{(M \Gamma(1 - \alpha))^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n(1 - \alpha))} (ih)^{(\alpha-1)-n \alpha}.
\end{equation}

This clearly holds when \( n = 1 \), and

\[
\phi_i^{(n+1)} = M h^{1-a} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \phi_j^{(n)} (i-j)^{-\alpha}
\]

\[
\leq \phi \frac{(M \Gamma(1 - \alpha))^n}{\Gamma(n(1 - \alpha))} h^{n-(n+1)\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} j^{\alpha-1} (i-j)^{-\alpha},
\]

and applying (2.6) with \( \gamma = n\alpha - (n-1) < 1 \) completes the inductive step. The inequality (2.5) follows from (2.7) and this yields the required bound (2.2).

To obtain (2.3), from (2.2) using \( ih \leq Nh \leq T \),

\[
x_i \leq \chi E_{1-a}(M \Gamma(1 - \alpha) T^{1-a}) + \frac{\phi}{(ih)^\alpha} \Gamma(1 - \alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(M \Gamma(1 - \alpha) T^{1-a})^n}{\Gamma(n+1)(1 - \alpha)},
\]

and since the series on the right converge uniformly for all \( T \) there exists \( C = C(T) \) such that

\[
x_i \leq C \left( \chi + \frac{\phi}{(ih)^\alpha} \right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq N.
\]

Throughout the rest of this paper, constants \( C, M \), with or without subscripts or superscripts, will denote constants independent of \( h \).

3. The product trapezoidal rule.

The product trapezoidal rule for equation (1.1) is defined as follows:

\[
y_0 = g(0)
\]

\[
y_i = g(t_i) + h \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{ij} k(t_i, t_j) y_j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N,
\]

where \( y_i \) denotes an approximation to \( y(t_i) \), \( t_i = ih, 0 \leq i \leq N, Nh = T \), and
\[
\begin{align*}
&w_{i0} = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_0^{t_i} \frac{(t_i - s)}{(t_i - s)^2} ds, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N, \\
&w_{ij} = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{(t_{j+1} - s)}{(t_i - s)^2} ds + \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \frac{(s - t_{j-1})}{(t_i - s)^2} ds, \quad 1 \leq j < i - 1, 2 \leq i \leq N, \\
&w_{ii} = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \frac{(s - t_{i-1})}{(t_i - s)^2} ds, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N.
\end{align*}
\]

It can be shown that there exists \( M \) such that
\[
0 < w_{ij} \leq M(h(i-j))^{-a}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq i \leq N.
\]

The true solution \( y(t_i) \) of (1.1) at \( t = t_i \) satisfies
\[
y(t_i) = g(t_i) + h \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{ij} k(t_i, t_j) y(t_j) + T_i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N,
\]
where the quadrature error \( T_i \) is given by
\[
T_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \left[ k(t_i, s)y(s) - \frac{(t_{j+1} - s)}{h} k(t_i, t_j) y(t_j) \\
+ \frac{(s - t_j)}{h} k(t_i, t_{j+1}) y(t_{j+1}) \right] \frac{1}{(t_i - s)^2} ds, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N, \text{ and } T_0 = 0.
\]

From (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) the error \( x_i = |y(t_i) - y| \) satisfies
\[
x_i \leq |T_i| + M \max_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T} |k(t, s)| h^{1-a} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \frac{x_j}{(i-j)^{a}}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N.
\]

Therefore, provided
\[
M \max_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T} |k(t, s)| h^{1-a} < 1,
\]
\[
x_i \leq C_1 |T_i| + C_2 h^{1-a} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{x_j}{(i-j)^a}.
\]

Consider the quadrature error \( T_i \). Let \( \xi > 0 \) be given. Let \( h_0 > 0 \) be fixed and take \( r \) to be the smallest integer such that \( rh_0 \geq \xi \) (\( r \) is also fixed).

Define \( F(s) = k(t_i, s)y(s) \). Away from the origin \( F(s) \) is smooth, therefore, for \( s \in [\xi, T] \) we have \( |F^{(2)}(s)| \leq C < \infty \). Using the error in Lagrange interpolation
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it follows that for \( s \in (t_j, t_{j+1}] \), \( j \geq r \),

\[
(F(s) - \left\{ \frac{(t_{j+1} - s)}{h} F(t_j) + \frac{(s - t_j)}{h} F(t_{j+1}) \right\} \leq C_3 h^2. 
\]

As \( t \to 0^+ \), \( y(t) \) is non-smooth. Using (1.2) it is straightforward to demonstrate that for \( s \in (t_j, t_{j+1}] \), \( 0 \leq j \leq r - 1 \), there exists \( C_4 \), such that

\[
(F(s) - \left\{ \frac{(t_{j+1} - s)}{h} F(t_j) + \frac{(s - t_j)}{h} F(t_{j+1}) \right\} \leq C_4 h^{1-x}.
\]

Using (3.6), (3.7) in (3.4) gives

\[
|T_i| \leq C_4 h^{1-x} \sum_{j=0}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{ds}{(t_i - s)^a} + C_3 h^2 \sum_{j=0}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{ds}{(t_i - s)^a}.
\]

If \( 1 \leq i \leq r \),

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{ds}{(t_i - s)^a} = \int_0^{t_i} \frac{ds}{(t_i - s)^a} \leq \frac{rh}{(1 - \alpha) t_i^a},
\]

and for \( i > r \),

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{ds}{(t_i - s)^a} = \int_0^{t_r} \frac{ds}{(t_i - s)^a} < C \int_0^{t_r} \frac{ds}{t_i^a} = \frac{C' rh}{t_i^a}.
\]

It follows, since \( r \) is fixed, that

\[
|T_i| \leq \frac{C_4 h^{2-a}}{t_i^a} + C_6 h^2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N.
\]

Using (3.8) in (3.5) and invoking the corollary to theorem 2.1 it may be deduced that given \( \xi > 0 \), for all \( h \) sufficiently small (with \( h \geq h_0 \) and \( Nh = T \)), there exists \( C = C(T) \) such that

\[
|y(t_i) - y_i| \leq C(h^{-2a} t_i^{-a} + h^2).
\]

Hence the order of the error at the fixed point \( t_i(h \to 0^+, i \to \infty \) with \( t_i = ih \) fixed) away from the origin is \( 2 - \alpha \). In particular, if \( \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \), the order of the error as \( t \) increases from the origin will be \( \frac{3}{2} \).

4. Higher order methods.

In this section it will briefly be shown that similar analysis may be used to prove that methods which are convergent of order \( n > 2 \) when applied to equation (1.1) under the assumption that the solution of (1.1) is smooth on \([0, T] \) are only convergent of order \( 2 - \alpha \) when the solution of (1.1) is non-smooth as \( t \to 0^+ \).
Each $i \geq n$ may be written uniquely as $i = vn + p$ where $v \geq 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq n - 1$. Then

$$\int_0^{t_i} \frac{k(t, s)y(s)}{(t_i - s)^s} ds = \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{k(t, s)y(s)}{(t_i - s)^s} ds + \int_{t_{v-1}}^{t_i} \frac{k(t, s)y(s)}{(t_i - s)^s} ds.$$  

For $s \in (t_{jm}, t_{(j+1)m}]$ approximate $k(t, s)y(s)$ by an $(n+1)$-point formula and for $s \in (t_{(v-1)m}, t_i]$ approximate $k(t, s)y(s)$ by an $(n + 1 + p)$-point formula. This yields

$$\int_0^{t_i} \frac{k(t, s)y(s)}{(t_i - s)^s} ds \approx \sum_{j=0}^{v-2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} k(t, t_{jm+k})y(t_{jm+k}) \int_{t_{jm}}^{t_{jm+k}} l_k(h^{-1}(s - t_{jm}))(t_i - s)^{-s} ds$$  

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{n+p+1} k(t, t_{(v-1)m+k})y(t_{(v-1)m+k}) \int_{t_{(v-1)m}}^{t_i} \bar{l}_k(h^{-1}(s - t_{(v-1)m}))(t_i - s)^{-s} ds$$  

where $l_k(s)$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, and $\bar{l}_k(s)$, $0 \leq k \leq n + p + 1$, are fundamental Lagrange polynomials. The integrals are to be evaluated analytically.

Using (4.1) in (1.1) it follows (after rearranging) that a class of discrete methods for (1.1) may be written in the form

$$y_0 = g(t_0)$$  

$$y_i = \bar{y}_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$  

$$y_i = g(t_i) + h \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} w_{ij}k(t_i, t_j)y_j, \quad n \leq i \leq N,$$  

where $\bar{y}_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1$, are precomputed starting values and the weights $w_{ij}$ involve sums of integrals of the form

$$h^{-1} \int_{t_{jm}}^{t_{jm+1}} l_k(h^{-1}(s - t_{jm}))(t_i - s)^{-s} ds \text{ and } h^{-1} \int_{t_{(v-1)m}}^{t_i} \bar{l}_k(h^{-1}(s - t_{(v-1)m}))(t_i - s)^{-s} ds.$$  

It can be shown that the weights satisfy an inequality of the form (3.2) (see Cameron and McKee [4], where examples of methods of this class are given).

The true solution $y$ of (1.1) satisfies

$$y(t_i) = \bar{y}_i + T_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$  

$$y(t_i) = g(t_i) + h \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} w_{ij}k(t_i, t_j)y_j + T_i, \quad n \leq i \leq N,$$  

where, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $T_i$ is the error in the $i$th starting value and, for $n \leq i \leq N$, $T_i$ is the quadrature error at $t = t_i$. 

For $h$ sufficiently small the error $x_i = |y(t_i) - y_i|$ again satisfies the discrete inequality

$$x_i \leq C_1|T_i| + C_2 h^{1-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} x_j(i-j)^{-\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N.$$ 

Let the starting values be such that

$$|T_i| = |y(t_i) - y_i| \leq C_3 h^q, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \quad (q > 0).$$

(Note that if the product trapezoidal rule is used to compute the starting values then $|T_i| \leq C_3 h^{2-\alpha} t_i^{-\alpha}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1$.)

Let $r$ be defined as in section 3. By choosing $h_0$ sufficiently small it may be assumed with no loss of generality that $n < r$. Set $F(s) = k(t_i, s)y(s)$. Following the analysis of section 3 it follows that for $s \in (t_j, t_{j+1})$,

$$|F(s) - F(t_j)| \leq C_4 h I - \beta, \quad j \leq r$$

It may then be deduced that for $i > n$

$$|T_i| \leq C_6 h^{2-\alpha} t_i^{-\alpha} + C_7 h^{\mu+1}.$$ 

Thus

$$|T_i| \leq C_6 h^{2-\alpha} t_i^{-\alpha} + C_7 h^\mu, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N,$$

where $\mu = \min (q, n+1)$.

Invoking the corollary to theorem 2.1 yields

$$|y(t_i) - y_i| \leq C(h^{2-\alpha} t_i^{-\alpha} + h^\mu)$$

and consequently if $\mu \geq 2$ the order of the error at $t = t_i$ away from the origin is again $2 - \alpha$.

Consider also a collocation scheme.

Given collocation parameters $0 < \eta_0 < \eta_1 < \ldots < \eta_m \leq 1$ define

$$Q_N := \{t = t, \hat{t}_{\alpha} = t + \eta_\alpha h, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq m, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N-1\}.$$ 

Let $S(m; N)$ be the space of piecewise polynomials of degree $m$ defined by

$$S(m; N) := \{u: u|_{\sigma_i} \in \Pi_m, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N-1\}$$

where

$$\sigma_0 = [t_0, t_1], \quad \sigma_i = (t_i, t_{i+1}], \quad 1 \leq i \leq N-1.$$
The solution of (1.1) will be approximated by an element $u \in S(m; N)$: this element will be required to satisfy (1.1) on the finite set $Q_N$, i.e.

\begin{equation}
(4.2) \quad u(t) = g(t) + \int_0^t \frac{k(t, s)u(s)}{(t-s)^p} \, ds, \quad t \in Q_N.
\end{equation}

(See, for example, Brunner [2].)

Since $u|_{\sigma_i} \in \Pi_m$, $u$ may be represented as

\begin{equation}
(4.3) \quad u(t) = \sum_{i=0}^m u(\eta_{i+1}) I_i (h^{-1}(t-t_i)), \quad t \in \sigma_i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N - 1,
\end{equation}

where $I_i(t) = \prod_{\sigma=\sigma} ((t-\eta_{i+j})/(\eta_{\sigma}-\eta_{i}))$, $0 \leq \sigma \leq m$.

Hence the collocation equation (4.2) may be expressed in the form

\begin{equation}
(4.4) \quad u(\eta_{i+1}) = g(\eta_{i+1}) + h \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sum_{r=0}^m u(\eta_{j+1}) I_i (h^{-1}(t-t_j))(\eta_{i+1}-s)^{-p} \, ds
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ h \sum_{r=0}^m u(\eta_{i+1}) I_i (h^{-1}(t-t_j))(\eta_{i+1}-s)^{-p} \, ds + T_{i+1},
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
T_{i+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \int_{\eta_{j+1}}^{\eta_{i+1}} \frac{k(\eta_{i+1}, s)(y(s) - \sum_{r=0}^m y(\eta_{j+1}) I_i (h^{-1}(s-t_j)))}{(\eta_{i+1}-s)^p} \, ds
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ \int_{\eta_{j+1}}^{\eta_{i+1}} \frac{k(\eta_{i+1}, s)(y(s) - \sum_{r=0}^m y(\eta_{j+1}) I_i (h^{-1}(s-t_j)))}{(\eta_{i+1}-s)^p} \, ds, \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq m, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N - 1.
\end{equation}

The solution $y$ of (1.1) satisfies

\begin{equation}
(4.4) \quad y(\eta_{i+1}) = g(\eta_{i+1}) + h \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sum_{r=0}^m y(\eta_{j+1}) I_i (h^{-1}(t-t_j))(\eta_{i+1}-s)^{-p} \, ds
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ h \sum_{r=0}^m y(\eta_{i+1}) I_i (h^{-1}(t-t_j))(\eta_{i+1}-s)^{-p} \, ds + T_{i+1},
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
T_{i+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \int_{\eta_{j+1}}^{\eta_{i+1}} \frac{k(\eta_{i+1}, s)(y(s) - \sum_{r=0}^m y(\eta_{j+1}) I_i (h^{-1}(s-t_j)))}{(\eta_{i+1}-s)^p} \, ds
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ \int_{\eta_{j+1}}^{\eta_{i+1}} \frac{k(\eta_{i+1}, s)(y(s) - \sum_{r=0}^m y(\eta_{j+1}) I_i (h^{-1}(s-t_j)))}{(\eta_{i+1}-s)^p} \, ds, \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq m, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N - 1.
\end{equation}

Defining $r$ as in section 3, for $s \in \sigma_j$, $0 \leq j \leq r - 1$

\begin{equation}
|y(s) - \sum_{r=0}^m y(\eta_{j+1}) I_i (h^{-1}(s-t_j))| \leq \begin{cases} C_1 h^{1-p}, & 0 \leq j \leq r - 1 \\ C_2 h^{m+1}, & r \leq j \leq N - 1. \end{cases}
\end{equation}
It may then be deduced that for $0 \leq \sigma \leq \alpha$, $0 \leq i \leq N-1$,

$$\left| T_{i\sigma} \right| \leq C_3 h^{-\alpha} t_i^{-\alpha} + C_4 h^{\alpha+1}. \quad (4.5)$$

Let $x_i = \max_{0 \leq \sigma \leq \alpha} \left| y(\hat{t}_{i\sigma}) - u(\hat{t}_{i\sigma}) \right|$. It can then be shown using (4.3) and (4.4) that $x_i$ satisfies

$$x_i \leq C_5 \max_{0 \leq \sigma \leq \alpha} \left| T_{i\sigma} \right| + C_6 h^{1-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} x_j(i-j)^{-\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N-1. \quad (4.6)$$

Full details may be found in Scott [10].

Using (4.5) and invoking the corollary to theorem 2.1 it follows that for some $C = C(T)$

$$\max_{0 \leq \sigma \leq \alpha} \left| y(\hat{t}_{i\sigma}) - u(\hat{t}_{i\sigma}) \right| \leq C(h^{-\alpha} t_i^{-\alpha} + h^{\alpha+1}). \quad (4.6)$$

From the identity $y(t) - u(t) = (y(t) - \sum_{\sigma=0}^{m} y(\hat{t}_{i\sigma}) \int_{\sigma}^{\hat{t}_{i\sigma}} (h^{-1}(t-t_i)) +$

$$+ \sum_{\sigma=0}^{m} (y(\hat{t}_{i\sigma}) - u(\hat{t}_{i\sigma})) \int_{\sigma}^{\hat{t}_{i\sigma}} (h^{-1}(t-t_i)), \quad t \in \sigma_i$$

it may be deduced using (4.6) that, since $y$ is smooth on $(\epsilon, T]$, for $t \in \sigma_i$, $i \geq r$, the error in the collocation approximation satisfies, for some $\hat{C} = \hat{C}(T)$,

$$\left| y(t) - u(t) \right| \leq \hat{C}(h^{-\alpha} t_i^{-\alpha} + h^{\alpha+1}), \quad t \in \sigma_i, \quad i \geq r.$$ 

Thus the error away from the origin is of order $2 - \alpha$.

5. Numerical results.

The convergence of the product trapezoidal rule was tested using equation (1.1) with $k(t, s) = -1$, $g(t) = t^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2} \pi t$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$; the exact solution in this case is $y(t) = t^{1/2}$.

Table 1 lists the number of correct digits (defined by $-\log_{10}$ (absolute error)) at $t = 0.5, 1, 2$ for $h = 0.1, 0.05, 0.025, 0.01$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>$h = 0.1$</th>
<th>$h = 0.05$</th>
<th>$h = 0.025$</th>
<th>$h = 0.01$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Number of correct digits as defined above.
This table confirms expectations that the order of the error is \( \frac{3}{2} \) (note that for a method of order \( p \), halving the stepsize would be expected to yield an increase of \( 3p/10 \) in the number of correct digits).
Additional numerical experiments gave similar results.


The discrete Gronwall inequality given in section 2 has been used to analyse the order of the error in the product trapezoidal rule. In a similar manner it has been shown that when the solution of (1.1) is non-smooth the error away from the origin in higher order product integration methods and collocation schemes is of order \( 2 - \alpha \).

Note that if the analysis is applied to the product Euler rule then in place of (3.9) one obtains.

\[
|y(t_i) - y_i| \leq C(h^{2-\alpha}t_i^{1-\alpha} + h)
\]
and the order of the error is 1.
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